

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/863,750

Applicant(s)

GOTOH ET AL.

Examiner

/Carlos Lopez/

Art Unit

1731

## All Participants:

(1) Carlos Lopez.

(2) Terryence Chapman.

Status of Application: After Final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 1 August  
September 2007

Time: 10am

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

22-25

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

LZ 9/1/07  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to amend claim 22 to clarify that the fed material is silica powder. Applicant also agreed, in order to advance the prosecution of the instant application, to cancel claims 23-25, after discussing whether or not the claims 23-25 further limit the parent claim.